

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	ON NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/800,651 03/16/2004		Shusuke Akazaki	107101-00050	1118			
4372	7590	04/05/2006		EXAMINER			
ARENT FO		C T AVENUE, N.W.	NGUYEN, TU MINH				
SUITE 400	LCTICO.	I AVENOE, IV.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
WASHINGT	ON, DC	20036	3748				
				DATE MAIL ED: 04/05/2004	DATE MAIL ED: 04/05/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•					E				
r		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/800,6	51	AKAZAKI ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examine		Art Unit					
		Tu M. Ngı	ıyen	3748					
7 Period for F	he MAILING DATE of this communic	ation appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress				
A SHOR WHICHE - Extension after SIX - If NO per - Failure to Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO EVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA as of time may be available under the provisions of (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu- iod for reply is specified above, the maximum statu- reply within the set or extended period for reply w received by the Office later than three months after atent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ILING DATE OF THE 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evolution. In the period will apply and will, by statute, cause the apply and will, by statute, cause the apply and will apply and will, by statute, cause the apply and will, by statute, cause the apply and will apply	HIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tim ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	I. sely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)⊠ Re	esponsive to communication(s) filed	on 16 March 2004.							
•	·	o)⊠ This action is n							
<i>,</i> —		<i>,</i> —		secution as to the	e merits is				
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition	of Claims								
4a) 5)□ CI 6)⊠ CI 7)□ CI	aim(s) 1 and 7-14 is/are pending in Of the above claim(s) is/are aim(s) is/are allowed.  aim(s) 1 and 7-14 is/are rejected.  aim(s) is/are objected to.  aim(s) are subject to restriction	e withdrawn from co							
Application	Papers								
9)⊠ Th	e specification is objected to by the	Examiner.							
10) 🖾 Th	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>16 March 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.								
Ap	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	placement drawing sheet(s) including t e oath or declaration is objected to								
Priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119								
a)⊠ / 1.l 2.l 3.l		ocuments have bee ocuments have bee f the priority docume al Bureau (PCT Rul	en received. en received in Applicati ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	on No. <u>08/975,10</u> ed in this National					
Attachment(s)	( Parameter Cited (DTO 202)		<b>0</b> □ 1	(DTO 442)					
2) Notice of 3) Informati	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Po(s)/Mail Date <u>031604</u> .		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	O-152)				

Art Unit: 3748

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. An Applicant's Preliminary Amendment filed on March 16, 2004 has been entered.

Claims 2-6 and 15-24 have been canceled; and claims 1, 7, and 12 have been amended. Overall, claims 1 and 7-14 are pending in this application.

### **Specification**

2. The abstract of the disclosure is further objected to because the abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office Action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Art Unit: 3748

4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Adamczyk, Jr. et al. (U.S. Patent 5,524,433).

As shown in Figure 7, Adamczyk, Jr. et al. disclose a system for purifying exhaust gas generated by an internal combustion engine (32) having an air intake system (37) and an exhaust system which includes an exhaust pipe (43) extending from an exhaust manifold of the engine and a catalyst (44) installed in the exhaust pipe, the exhaust system exhausting gas generated by the engine to the atmosphere, comprising:

- a bypass (not numbered but clearly shown) branching out from the exhaust pipe at a location downstream of the catalyst (44) and merging to the exhaust pipe downstream of the branching point;
  - an adsorber (31) installed in the bypass;
  - valve means (47) which closes the bypass;
- a conduit (84) connected to the bypass at one end and connected to the air intake system for recirculating the exhaust gas to the air intake system;
- valve control means (35) which operates the valve means to open the bypass for a period since starting of the engine to introduce the exhaust gas to the bypass such that the adsorber installed in the bypass adsorbs the unburnt component in the exhaust gas (see Figure 1); and then closes the valve means to recirculate the adsorbed unburnt component through the conduit with the exhaust gas after having desorbed from the adsorber (see Figures 2 and 7);
- EGR control means (82) which causes the exhaust gas introduced in the bypass to be recirculated to the air intake system through the conduit;

Art Unit: 3748

- fuel injection quantity determining means (35) for determining a quantity of fuel injection to be supplied to the engine (lines 24-27 of column 7);

- air-fuel ratio detecting means (39) for detecting an air-fuel ratio of the exhaust gas;
- feedback loop means (35) having an adaptive controller with an adaptation mechanism that estimates an adaptive parameter (an amount of HC desorbed from the adsorber), the feedback loop means calculates a feedback correction coefficient based on the estimated adaptive parameter such that the detected air-fuel ratio converges to a desired air-fuel ratio (stoichiometric air-fuel ratio) (see at least lines 24-32 of column 7 and lines 56-59 of column 7);
- EGR correction coefficient calculating means (35) for calculating an EGR correction coefficient when recirculating the exhaust gas to the air intake system (line 65 of column 7 to line 6 of column 8); and
- fuel injection quantity correcting means (35) for correcting the quantity of fuel injection based on at least the feedback correction coefficient and the EGR correction coefficient (lines 51-59 of column 7).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office Action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Adamczyk,

Jr. et al. as applied to claim 1 above, in view of Zahn et al. (U.S. Patent 5,613,359).

Re claim 7, the system of Adamczyk, Jr. et al. discloses the invention as cited above, however, fails to specifically disclose that the valve control means including catalyst temperature parameter detecting means for detecting a parameter relating to a temperature of the catalyst; and determines the period based on the detected parameter.

As illustrated in Figure 1, Zahn et al. disclose an exhaust gas purifying apparatus having a first catalyst (4) and HC adsorber (6) located in a bypass passage (5); wherein an ECU (9) controls a valve (8) in a open position during a period in which the HC adsorber is within a HC adsorbing temperature range. Zahn et al. teach that it is conventional in the art to utilize a temperature detecting means (11) to detect a temperature of the first catalyst such that the period is based on the detected temperature (see claim 1). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made, to have utilized the teaching by Zahn et al. in the system of Adamczyk, Jr. et al., since the use thereof would have been routinely utilized by those with ordinary skill in the art to control an operation of a HC adsorber to minimize HC emissions during an engine cold start.

Re claim 8, in the modified system of Adamczyk, Jr. et al., the valve control means decreases the period with increasing temperature of the catalyst.

Re claim 9, in the modified system of Adamczyk, Jr. et al., the valve control means decreases the period when the engine is under high load (in which an exhaust gas temperature is higher).

Application/Control Number: 10/800,651

Art Unit: 3748

Re claim 10, in the modified system of Adamczyk, Jr. et al., the valve control means decreases the period when the engine is in a failsafe condition (lines 18-25 of column 6).

7. Claims 11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Adamczyk, Jr. et al. in view of Zahn et al. as applied to claim 7 above, and further in view of Tomisawa (U.S. Patent 5,606,855).

Re claim 11, the modified system of Adamczyk, Jr. et al. discloses the invention as cited above, however, fails to specifically disclose that the parameter is a coolant temperature of the engine.

Tomisawa teaches an apparatus for estimating the temperature of a catalyst during an engine start-up simply and accurately by using a coolant temperature sensor (15). Tomisawa further teaches that the apparatus does not include an additional temperature sensor located at the catalyst, which can incur more cost to the apparatus (lines 64+ of column 1). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made, to have utilized the apparatus taught by Tomisawa in the modified system of Adamczyk, Jr. et al., since the use thereof would have saved cost and lowered the complexity of the system.

Re claim 12, in the modified system of Adamczyk, Jr. et al., the valve control means decreases the period with increasing temperature of the catalyst.

Re claim 13, in the modified system of Adamczyk, Jr. et al., the valve control means decreases the period when the engine is under high load (in which an exhaust gas temperature is higher).

Re claim 14, in the modified system of Adamczyk, Jr. et al., the valve control means decreases the period when the engine is in a failsafe condition (lines 18-25 of column 6).

Art Unit: 3748

#### **Prior Art**

8. The IDS (PTO-1449) filed on March 16, 2004 has been considered. An initialized copy is attached hereto.

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure and consists of six patents: Patil et al. (U.S. Patent 5,125,231), Day et al. (U.S. Patent 5,207,734), Adamczyk et al. (U.S. Patent 5,375,414), Fujishita et al. (U.S. Patent 5,388,405), Kuroda et al. (U.S. Patent 5,517,820), and Tanaka et al. (U.S. Patent 5,956,947) further disclose a state of the art.

### Communication

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Tu Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-4862.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Thomas E. Denion, can be reached on (571) 272-4859. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/800,651

Art Unit: 3748

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

**TMN** 

April 2, 2006

Tu M. Nguyen

Tu M. Nguyen

**Primary Examiner** 

Art Unit 3748